

## **History of Charges Against Officers and Executive Board Non-Interference**

Between 1983 and early 2008 there were six instances of charges filed against officers.

---

1983 – Eighty-one charges were filed against all twenty-two officers of 802.

The Executive Board did not perform a procedural review of the charges.

A Trial Committee was elected at a Membership Meeting, and after it performed the procedural review, all but two of the charges were dismissed.

---

Mid 1980's - Charges were filed against President John Glasel.

The Executive Board did not perform a procedural review of the charges.

A Trial Committee was elected at a Membership Meeting, and after it performed the procedural review, the charges were dismissed.

---

Early 1990s - charges were filed against the Executive Board.

The Executive Board did not perform a procedural review of the charges.

The charges fell after two consecutive Membership Meetings failed to achieve quorums.

---

January 2006 - charges were filed against Jay Schaffner and Bill Dennison.

The Executive Board did not perform a procedural review of the charges.

The charges were withdrawn.

---

Mid 2006 - charges were filed against President David Lennon.

The Executive Board did not perform a procedural review of the charges.

The charges were dismissed by the members at a Membership Meeting.

---

March 2008, during the current Executive Board's term - charges were filed against Executive Board members Mark Johansen and Jay Schaffner.

The current Executive Board did not perform a procedural review of the charges.

The charges against Johansen were withdrawn.

The charges against Schaffner were dismissed by the members at a Membership Meeting.

---

In none of these cases, going back over 25 years, did any former Executive Board assume it had the authority to conduct a procedural review of the charges against officers.

Even the current Board did not assume this authority in March 2008 when it did not review the charges against Johansen and Schaffner.

So by taking the action it did on 10/7/08, the current Executive Board has done what no previous Board has done.

Having done so, the current Executive Board stands not only in contradiction to the past practice of several former Executive Boards but also in contradiction to its own past practice.